UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/768,566	01/29/2004	Kiran K. Chada	69014-B/GJG	6434
7590 06/19/2007		EXAMINER		
Gary J. Gershik Cooper & Dunham LLP			CHANDRA, GYAN	
	1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 11036		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	
	•			
•	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	·		06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application/Control No. Applicant(s)/Patent under **Application Number** Reexamination 10/768,566 CHADA ET AL. Art Unit Gary B. Nickol Ph.D. 1646 **Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC**

Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

Thi

This	s is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for F	Review filed <u>5-14-2007</u>	
	1. Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s):	r and a conference will	not be held for the following
-	☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed con☐ The request does not include reasons why a☐ A proposed amendment is included with the☐ Other: .	a review is appropriate.	
	The time period for filing a response continues to rur the mail date of the last Office communication, if no		
	2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Intheld. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance while brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this crunning from the receipt of the notice of appeal, which appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	e there is at least one a with 37 CFR 41.37. The decision, or the balance chever is greater. Furth	ctual issue for appeal. Applicant time period for filing an appeal of the two-month time period er, the time period for filing of the
	 ☑ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,8-9,17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 	, <i>,</i>	
	3. Allowable application – A conference has be Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time.	en held. The rejection i remains closed. No fu	is withdrawn and a Notice of rther action is required by
	4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution - A conference has bee action will be mailed. No further action is required by		
All	participants:		MaryRolletar
(1)	Gary B. Nickol Ph.D.	(3) <u>Jean Witz</u> .	GARY R. NICKOL, PH.D.
(2)	<u>Gyan Chandra, Ph.D.</u> .	(4)	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600